Journal of Physics: Conference Series

arXiv:1411.3763v1 [hep-ph] 13 Nov 2014

The double radiative $\bar{B} \to X_s\gamma\gamma$ decay at $O(\alpha_s)$ in QCD

Ahmet Kokulu

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Liverpool, L69 3BX Liverpool, United Kingdom

E-mail: akokulu@liverpool.ac.uk

Abstract. In these proceedings, we briefly review the individual interference contribution of the electromagnetic dipole operator $\mathcal{O}_7$ to the double differential decay width $d\Gamma_{77}/(ds_1\, ds_2)$ for the process $\bar{B} \to X_s\gamma\gamma$ at $O(\alpha_s)$ in QCD, which is based on our work in [1]. We define two kinematical variables s_1 and s_2 as $s_i = (p_b - q_i)^2/m_b^2$, where p_b, q_1, q_2 are the momenta of b-quark and two photons. While the (renormalized) virtual corrections are worked out exactly for a certain range of s_1 and s_2, we retained in the gluon bremsstrahlung process only the leading power w.r.t. the (normalized) hadronic mass $s_3 = (p_b - q_1 - q_2)^2/m_b^2$ in the underlying triple differential decay width $d\Gamma_{77}/(ds_1 ds_2 ds_3)$. We found that the double differential decay width, based on this approximation, is free of infrared- and collinear singularities when summing up the virtual- and real-radiation corrections, while this was not the case when keeping all powers in s_3 in the gluon bremsstrahlung process due to the configurations allowing collinear photon emission from the (massless) s-quark. Lastly, we compare our analytical results with those obtained in a recently extended work [2], where a non-zero strange quark mass was introduced to regulate the collinear photon configurations.

1. Introduction

Inclusive rare B-meson decays provide a crucial place to probe new physics indirectly. In the Standard Model (SM) all these processes proceed through loop diagrams (due to Glashow-Iliopoulos-Maiani mechanism) and thus are relatively suppressed. In the extensions of the SM the contributions stemming from the diagrams with "new" particles in the loops can be comparable or even larger than the contribution from the SM. Thus getting experimental information on rare decays puts stringent constraints on the extensions of the SM or can even lead to a disagreement with the SM predictions, providing evidence for some "new physics".

To make a rigorous comparison between experiment and theory, precise SM calculations for the (differential) decay rates are mandatory. While the branching ratios for $\bar{B} \to X_s\gamma$ [3] and $\bar{B} \to X_s\ell^+\ell^-$ are known today even to next-to-next-to-leading logarithmic (NNLL) precision (for reviews, see [4, 5]), other branching ratios, like the one for $\bar{B} \to X_s\gamma\gamma$ discussed in these proceedings, has been calculated before to leading logarithmic (LL) precision in the SM by several groups [6, 7, 8, 9] and only recently a first step towards next-to-leading-logarithmic (NLL) precision was presented by us in [1]. In contrast to $\bar{B} \to X_s\gamma$, the current-current operator $\mathcal{O}_2$ has a non-vanishing matrix element for $b \to s\gamma\gamma$ at order α_s^0 precision, leading to an interesting interference pattern with the contributions associated with the electromagnetic

dipole operator $\mathcal{O}_7$ already at LL precision. As a consequence, potential new physics should be clearly visible not only in the total branching ratio, but also in the differential distributions.

As the process $\bar{B} \to X_s\gamma\gamma$ is expected to be measured at the planned Super B-factory in Japan (**SuperKEKB**), it is necessary to calculate the differential distributions to NLL precision in the SM, in order to fully exploit its potential concerning new physics.

While the Wilson coefficients $C_i(\mu)$ (appearing in the definition of the effective Hamiltonian) are known to sufficient precision at the low scale $\mu \sim m_b$ since a long time (see e.g. the reviews [4, 5] and references therein), the matrix elements $\langle s\gamma\gamma|\mathcal{O}_i|b\rangle$ and $\langle s\gamma\gamma\, g|\mathcal{O}_i|b\rangle$, which in a NLL calculation are needed to order g_s^2 and g_s, respectively, are not fully known yet. To calculate the $(\mathcal{O}_i, \mathcal{O}_j)$-interference contributions to the differential distributions at order α_s is in many respects of similar complexity as the calculation of the photon energy spectrum in $\bar{B} \to X_s\gamma$ at order α_s^2 needed for the NNLL computation. As a first step in this NLL enterprise, we derived in our paper [1], the $O(\alpha_s)$ corrections to the $(\mathcal{O}_7, \mathcal{O}_7)$-interference contribution to the double differential decay width $d\Gamma/(ds_1 ds_2)$ at the partonic level. We defined the variables s_1 and s_2 as $s_i = (p_b - q_i)^2/m_b^2$, where p_b and q_i denote the four-momenta of the b-quark and the two photons, respectively.

At order α_s there are contributions to $d\Gamma_{77}/(ds_1 ds_2)$ with three particles (s-quark and two photons) and four particles (s-quark, two photons and a gluon) in the final state. These contributions correspond to specific cuts of the b-quarks self-energy at order $\alpha^2 \times \alpha_s$, involving twice the operator $\mathcal{O}_7$. As there are additional cuts, which contain for example only one photon, our observable cannot be obtained using the optical theorem, i.e., by taking the absorptive part of the b-quark self-energy at three-loop. We therefore calculated the mentioned contributions with three and four particles in the final state individually.

We work out the QCD corrections to the double differential decay width in the kinematical range (see the left frame of Fig. 1)

$$0 < s_1 < 1 \quad ; \quad 0 < s_2 < 1 - s_1 \,.$$

Concerning the virtual corrections, all singularities (after ultra-violet renormalization) are due to **soft gluon** exchanges and/or **collinear gluon** exchanges involving the s-quark. Concerning the bremsstrahlung corrections (restricted to the same range of s_1 and s_2), there are in addition kinematical situations where **collinear photons** are emitted from the s-quark. The corresponding singularities did not cancel when combined with the virtual corrections. We found, however, that there are no singularities associated with collinear photon emission in the double differential decay width when only retaining the leading power w.r.t to the (normalized) hadronic mass $s_3 = (p_b - q_1 - q_2)^2/m_b^2$ in the underlying triple differential distribution $d\Gamma_{77}/(ds_1 ds_2 ds_3)$. The results of our paper [1] were obtained within this "approximation".

2. The leading and the $O(\alpha_s)$ results for the decay width

In $d = 4$ dimensions, the leading-order spectrum (in our restricted phase-space) is given by

$$\frac{d\Gamma_{77}^{(0)}}{ds_1\, ds_2} = \frac{\alpha^2\, \bar{m}_b^2(\mu)\, m_b^3\, |C_{7,eff}(\mu)|^2\, G_F^2\, |V_{tb}V_{ts}^*|^2\, Q_d^2}{1024\,\pi^5}\, \frac{(1-s_1-s_2)}{(1-s_1)^2 s_1 (1-s_2)^2 s_2}\, r_0\,. \qquad (1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r_0 \;=\; & -48 s_2^3 s_1^3 + 96 s_2^2 s_1^3 - 56 s_2 s_1^3 + 8 s_1^3 + 96 s_2^3 s_1^2 - 192 s_2^2 s_1^2 + 112 s_2 s_1^2 - 56 s_2^3 s_1 + \\ & 112 s_2^2 s_1 - 96 s_2 s_1 + 8 s_1 + 8 s_2^3 + 8 s_2 \end{aligned}$$

Adding the renormalized virtual corrections and the bremsstrahlung corrections, the complete order α_s correction to the double differential decay width $d\Gamma_{77}/(ds_1\, ds_2)$ reads

$$\frac{d\Gamma_{77}^{(1)}}{ds_1\, ds_2} = \frac{\alpha^2\, \bar{m}_b^2(\mu)\, m_b^3\, |C_{7,eff}(\mu)|^2\, G_F^2\, |V_{tb}V_{ts}^*|^2\, Q_d^2}{1024\,\pi^5} \times \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}\, C_F\, \left[\frac{-4\, r_0\, (1-s_1-s_2)}{(1-s_1)^2\, s_1\, (1-s_2)^2\, s_2}\, \log\frac{\mu}{m_b} + f\right], \quad (2)$$

where the explicit expression for f can be found in [1].

The order α_s correction $d\Gamma^{(1)}_{77}/(ds_1 ds_2)$ in Eq. (2) to the double differential decay width for $b \to X_s\gamma\gamma$ was the main result of our paper [1].

3. Numerical illustrations I

In our procedure the NLL corrections have three sources: (a) α_s corrections to the Wilson coefficient $C_{7,eff}(\mu)$, (b) expressing $\bar{m}_b(\mu)$ in terms of the pole mass m_b and (c) virtual- and real- order α_s corrections to the matrix elements. To illustrate the effect of source (c), which was worked out for the first time in our paper [1] (see also Ref. [10] for a brief review), we show in the middle frame of Fig. 1 (by the long-dashed line) the (partial) NLL result in which source (c) is switched off. We conclude that the effect (c) is roughly of equal importance as the combined effects of (a) and (b).

For completeness we show in the middle frame of this figure (by the dotted line) also the result when QCD is completely switched off, which amounts to put $\mu = m_W$ in the LL result. Furthermore, the right frame of Fig. 1 illustrates the LL spectrums for the cases when taking into account the ($\mathcal{O}_7$, $\mathcal{O}_7$) interference contribution only (dashed curve) and also when all contributions associated with $\mathcal{O}_1$, $\mathcal{O}_2$ and $\mathcal{O}_7$ are considered (solid curve).

From Fig. 1 we see that the NLL results are substantially smaller (typically by 50% or slightly more) than those at LL precision, which was also the case when choosing other values for s_2.

In the numerical discussion above, we observed the following: Generally speaking, NLL corrections are not small, when taking into account the full range of μ, i.e., $m_b/2 < \mu < 2\,m_b$.



Figure 1. **Left:** The relevant phase-space region for s_1 and s_2 used in this paper. **Middle:** Double differential decay width, based on the operator $\mathcal{O}_7$ only, as a function of s_1 for s_2 fixed at $s_2 = 0.2$ and $\mu = m_b$. The dotted(black), the short-dashed(red) and the solid line(blue) shows the result when neglecting QCD-effects, the LL and the NLL result, respectively. The long-dashed line(purple) represents the (partial) NLL result in which the virtual- and bremsstrahlung corrections worked out in our paper [1] are switched off. **Right:** Plot taken from Ref. [2] illustrating the LL decay width as a function of s_1 for s_2 fixed at $s_2 = 0.2$ and $\mu = m_b$. In this frame, the dashed line shows the result when only the ($\mathcal{O}_7$, $\mathcal{O}_7$) interference is taken into account, while the solid line shows the result when all contributions associated with $\mathcal{O}_1$, $\mathcal{O}_2$ and $\mathcal{O}_7$ are included.

4. Numerical illustrations II

In this section we briefly compare the result of the present work [1] with those obtained in a recent analysis [2]. In the work of [2], a non-zero mass for the s-quark was introduced in order to regulate the collinear photon emissions from the s-quark, retaining all powers w.r.t. hadronic mass s_3. As can be seen from Fig. 2, in either case (work [1] or work [2]), the NLL QCD contributions to the decay width are large in general.



Figure 2. Plot taken from Ref. [2] illustrating the double differential decay width, based on the operator $\mathcal{O}_7$ only, as a function of s_1 for s_2 fixed at $s_2 = 0.2$ and $\mu = m_b$. The dotted, the dashed and the solid lines show the LL result, the NLL when only retaining leading power terms as in Ref. [1] and the full NLL result of the recent paper [2], respectively. Among the three solid lines, the highest, middle and lowest curve correspond to setting $m_s = 400$ MeV, $m_s = 500$ MeV and $m_s = 600$ MeV, respectively.

5. Summary

In these proceedings we reviewed the calculation of the set of $O(\alpha_s)$ corrections to the observable $\bar{B} \to X_s\gamma\gamma$ originating from diagrams involving $\mathcal{O}_7$. To perform this calculation, it was necessary to work out diagrams with three particles (s-quark and two photons) and four particles (s-quark, two photons and a gluon) in the final state. From the technical point of view, the calculation was made possible by the use of the Laporta Algorithm to identify the needed master integrals and by applying the differential equation method to solve the master integrals. When calculating the bremsstrahlung corrections, we took into account only terms proportional to the leading power of the hadronic mass. We found that the infrared and collinear singularities cancel when combining the above mentioned approximated version of bremsstrahlung corrections with the virtual corrections. The numerical impact of the NLL corrections is found to be large: for $d\Gamma_{77}/(ds_1\, ds_2)$ the NLL result is approximately 50% smaller than the LL prediction.

Moreover, we compared our present results with those obtained in a recent paper [2], where a massive strange quark was considered to regulate the collinear photon (gluon) configurations, retaining all powers w.r.t. the hadronic mass.

Acknowledgments

I wish to thank the organisers of the conference TROIA'14 for their efforts to make it very pleasant and for the possibility to present these results.

[1] Asatrian H, Greub C, Kokulu A and Yeghiazaryan A 2012 *Phys.Rev.* **D85** 014020 (*Preprint* 1110.1251)
[2] Asatrian H M and Greub C 2014 *Phys.Rev.* **D89** 094028 (*Preprint* 1403.4502)
[3] Misiak M, Asatrian H, Bieri K, Czakon M, Czarnecki A *et al.* 2007 *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **98** 022002 (*Preprint* hep-ph/0609232)
[4] Hurth T and Nakao M 2010 *Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci.* **60** 645–677 (*Preprint* 1005.1224)
[5] Buras A J 2011 (*Preprint* 1102.5650)
[6] Simma H and Wyler D 1990 *Nucl.Phys.* **B344** 283–316
[7] Reina L, Ricciardi G and Soni A 1997 *Phys.Lett.* **B396** 231–237 (*Preprint* hep-ph/9612387)
[8] Reina L, Ricciardi G and Soni A 1997 *Phys.Rev.* **D56** 5805–5815 (*Preprint* hep-ph/9706253)
[9] Cao J j, Xiao Z j and Lu G r 2001 *Phys.Rev.* **D64** 014012 (*Preprint* hep-ph/0103154)
[10] Kokulu A 2012 (*Preprint* 1207.2397)